



Number Theory, q -calculus.

The Zeta and q -Zeta functions

Costas-Santos, Roberto S.

0. Preliminars

1 Enviorement

\mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}_{q;\beta}$ & $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

0. Preliminars

1 Envioirement

\mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}_{q;\beta}$ & $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

2 Functions

$\Phi_n(x)$, $\zeta(z)$, $[n; \beta]_q$ & $\zeta_q(z; \beta)$.

0. Preliminars

1 Envioirement

\mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}_{q;\beta}$ & $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

2 Functions

$\Phi_n(x)$, $\zeta(z)$, $[n; \beta]_q$ & $\zeta_q(z; \beta)$.

3 Basic Ideas and Results

- ▷ Basic Number Theory functions.
- ▷ Basic cyclotomic polynomials identities,
- ▷ k -Perfect numbers,
- ▷ Factorization of the Zeta function. Identities.
- ▷ q -Numbers and q -Zeta functions.

1. Basic NT Functions

1 Function $\varphi(n)$ (Euler):

$$\varphi(n) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gcd(k, n) = 1\}.$$

1. Basic NT Functions

1 Function $\varphi(n)$ (Euler):

$$\varphi(n) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gcd(k, n) = 1\}.$$

2 Function $\mu(n)$ (Möbius):

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1, \\ (-1)^r & n = p_1 \cdots p_r, \\ 0 & \text{other case} \end{cases}$$

1. Basic NT Functions

1 Function $\varphi(n)$ (Euler):

$$\varphi(n) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gcd(k, n) = 1\}.$$

2 Function $\mu(n)$ (Möbius):

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1, \\ (-1)^r & n = p_1 \cdots p_r, \\ 0 & \text{other case} \end{cases}$$

3 $\pi(x) = \#\{\text{number of primes} \leq x\} \sim \frac{x}{\log x},$

1. Basic NT Functions

1 Function $\varphi(n)$ (Euler):

$$\varphi(n) = \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \gcd(k, n) = 1\}.$$

2 Function $\mu(n)$ (Möbius):

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1, \\ (-1)^r & n = p_1 \cdots p_r, \\ 0 & \text{other case} \end{cases}$$

3 $\pi(x) = \#\{\text{number of primes} \leq x\} \sim \frac{x}{\log x},$

4 $\text{Li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{1}{\log t} dt \sim$ Riemann conjecture.

2. Cyclotomic polynomials

▷ Let $\eta \in \mathbb{C} : \eta^n = 1$ and $\eta^k \neq 1$ if $k = 0 : n - 1$

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (x - \eta^k).$$

2. Cyclotomic polynomials

▷ Let $\eta \in \mathbb{C} : \eta^n = 1$ and $\eta^k \neq 1$ if $k = 0 : n - 1$

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (x - \eta^k).$$

▷ **Properties:**

- $\Phi_n(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$,
- The degree of $\Phi_n(x)$ is $\varphi(n)$,
- $x^n - 1 = \prod_{k|n} \Phi_k(x)$, (*)
- $\Phi_r(x^n) = \prod_{t|n} \Phi_{rt}(x)$, $\gcd(n, r) = 1$,
- $\Lambda(n) = \log(\Phi_n(1))$. (!)

3. Some Applications of $\Phi_n(x)$

▷ k -perfect numbers. Definition.

Ex. $k = 2 \rightarrow 6$, $k = 3 \rightarrow 120$, $k = 4 \rightarrow 30240$,
 $k = 5 \rightarrow 14182439040$,

3. Some Applications of $\Phi_n(x)$

▷ k -perfect numbers. Definition.

Ex. $k = 2 \rightarrow 6$, $k = 3 \rightarrow 120$, $k = 4 \rightarrow 30240$,
 $k = 5 \rightarrow 14182439040$,

▷ If $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ then

$$(1 + p_1 + \cdots + p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdots (1 + p_r + \cdots + p_r^{\alpha_r}) = k p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}.$$

3. Some Applications of $\Phi_n(x)$

▷ k -perfect numbers. Definition.

Ex. $k = 2 \rightarrow 6$, $k = 3 \rightarrow 120$, $k = 4 \rightarrow 30240$,
 $k = 5 \rightarrow 14182439040$,

▷ If $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ then

$$(1 + p_1 + \cdots + p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdots (1 + p_r + \cdots + p_r^{\alpha_r}) = k p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}.$$

▷ Case $k = 2$. (Open Problem)

3. Some Applications of $\Phi_n(x)$

▷ k -perfect numbers. Definition.

Ex. $k = 2 \rightarrow 6$, $k = 3 \rightarrow 120$, $k = 4 \rightarrow 30240$,
 $k = 5 \rightarrow 14182439040$,

▷ If $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ then

$$(1 + p_1 + \cdots + p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdots (1 + p_r + \cdots + p_r^{\alpha_r}) = k p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}.$$

▷ Case $k = 2$. (Open Problem)

▷ Factorize $\Phi_n(p)$ in \mathbb{Z} . (Open Problem)

3. Some Applications of $\Phi_n(x)$

▷ k -perfect numbers. Definition.

Ex. $k = 2 \rightarrow 6$, $k = 3 \rightarrow 120$, $k = 4 \rightarrow 30240$,
 $k = 5 \rightarrow 14182439040$,

▷ If $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ then

$$(1 + p_1 + \cdots + p_1^{\alpha_1}) \cdots (1 + p_r + \cdots + p_r^{\alpha_r}) = k p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}.$$

▷ Case $k = 2$. (Open Problem)

▷ Factorize $\Phi_n(p)$ in \mathbb{Z} . (Open Problem)

▷ Let p, q be two primes s.t. $q | \Phi_n(p)$ then

$$q | n \quad \text{or} \quad \#_q(p) | \gcd(n, q - 1).$$

4. The Zeta function

▷ **Definition**

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^z}, \quad \Re(z) > 1.$$

Can be extended analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.

4. The Zeta function

▷ Definition

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^z}, \quad \Re(z) > 1.$$

Can be extended analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.

▷ Euler Identity

$$\zeta(z) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}.$$

4. The Zeta function

▷ **Definition**

$$\zeta(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^z}, \quad \Re(z) > 1.$$

Can be extended analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.

▷ **Euler Identity**

$$\zeta(z) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (1 - p^{-z})^{-1}.$$

▷ **New Function:** Let n be a positive integer,

$$\zeta_{\Phi}(n) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} [\Phi_1^{\mu(n)}(1/p) \Phi_n^{-1}(1/p)].$$

4. The Zeta function. Identities

▷ Let n be an integer, $n > 1$, then

$$\zeta(n) = \prod_{t|n} \zeta_{\Phi}(t).$$

4. The Zeta function. Identities

▷ Let n be an integer, $n > 1$, then

$$\zeta(n) = \prod_{t|n} \zeta_{\Phi}(t).$$

▷ **General Factorization**

$$\prod_{\substack{k|n \\ k \neq 1}} (\zeta(k))^{\mu(n/k)} = \zeta_{\Phi}(n).$$

4. The Zeta function. Identities

▷ Let n be an integer, $n > 1$, then

$$\zeta(n) = \prod_{t|n} \zeta_{\Phi}(t).$$

▷ **General Factorization**

$$\prod_{\substack{k|n \\ k \neq 1}} (\zeta(k))^{\mu(n/k)} = \zeta_{\Phi}(n).$$

▷ For p a prime integer $\rightarrow \zeta_{\Phi}(p) = \zeta(p)$.

4. The Zeta function. Identities

▷ Let n be an integer, $n > 1$, then

$$\zeta(n) = \prod_{t|n} \zeta_{\Phi}(t).$$

▷ **General Factorization**

$$\prod_{\substack{k|n \\ k \neq 1}} (\zeta(k))^{\mu(n/k)} = \zeta_{\Phi}(n).$$

▷ For p a prime integer $\rightarrow \zeta_{\Phi}(p) = \zeta(p)$.

▷ Some identities using NT functions.

5. q -Calculus and q -NT

▷ Fixed β , $q \in \mathbb{C}$, $q^\beta \neq 1$, the q^β -number

$$[n; \beta]_q \equiv [n]_{q^\beta} = \frac{q^{n\beta} - 1}{q^\beta - 1}.$$

5. q -Calculus and q -NT

▷ Fixed $\beta, q \in \mathbb{C}, q^\beta \neq 1$, the q^β -number

$$[n; \beta]_q \equiv [n]_{q^\beta} = \frac{q^{n\beta} - 1}{q^\beta - 1}.$$

▷ If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $[n; \beta]_q \in \mathbb{Z}[q^\beta]$.

5. q -Calculus and q -NT

▷ Fixed $\beta, q \in \mathbb{C}, q^\beta \neq 1$, the q^β -number

$$[n; \beta]_q \equiv [n]_{q^\beta} = \frac{q^{n\beta} - 1}{q^\beta - 1}.$$

▷ If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $[n; \beta]_q \in \mathbb{Z}[q^\beta]$.

▷ $\overline{\{[n; \beta]_q : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ is a Field with respect $+$ and $*$.

5. q -Calculus and q -NT

▷ Fixed β , $q \in \mathbb{C}$, $q^\beta \neq 1$, the q^β -number

$$[n; \beta]_q \equiv [n]_{q^\beta} = \frac{q^{n\beta} - 1}{q^\beta - 1}.$$

▷ If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $[n; \beta]_q \in \mathbb{Z}[q^\beta]$.

▷ $\overline{\{[n; \beta]_q : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ is a Field with respect $+$ and $*$.

▷ $[n; \beta]_q$ is **q -prime** iff exists an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x] : [n; \beta]_q = f(q^\beta)$.
And is **q -composite** in other case.

5. q -Calculus and q -NT

▷ Fixed $\beta, q \in \mathbb{C}, q^\beta \neq 1$, the q^β -number

$$[n; \beta]_q \equiv [n]_{q^\beta} = \frac{q^{n\beta} - 1}{q^\beta - 1}.$$

▷ If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ then $[n; \beta]_q \in \mathbb{Z}[q^\beta]$.

▷ $\overline{\{[n; \beta]_q : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ is a Field with respect $+$ and $*$.

▷ $[n; \beta]_q$ is **q -prime** iff exists an irreducible polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x] : [n; \beta]_q = f(q^\beta)$.
And is **q -composite** in other case.

▷ $\overline{\{[n; \beta]_q : n, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ is an UFD.

5. q -Calculus and q -NT (cont.)

▷ Let's consider a representant of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$

$$[[p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}; \beta]_q := [p_1; \beta]_q^{\alpha_1} \cdots [p_k; \beta]_q^{\alpha_k}.$$

5. q -Calculus and q -NT (cont.)

▷ Let's consider a representant of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$

$$[[p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}; \beta]_q := [p_1; \beta]_q^{\alpha_1} \cdots [p_k; \beta]_q^{\alpha_k}.$$

▷ $[n; \beta]_q$ is the minimal representation of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$ in terms of q^β -numbers.

5. q -Calculus and q -NT (cont.)

▷ Let's consider a representant of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$

$$[[p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k}; \beta]_q := [p_1; \beta]_q^{\alpha_1} \cdots [p_k; \beta]_q^{\alpha_k}.$$

▷ $[n; \beta]_q$ is the minimal representation of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$ in terms of q^β -numbers.

▷ In that Field all the q -representations of a functional representation via the q -numbers can be considered “the same”, but in the practice: convergence, zeros, applications in approximation theory, ... can present different behavior.

6. Special Functions

1 If we consider a functional equation that verifies a Special Function $f(z)$ we can

- Don't allow the parameter β changes in the q -analog of this equation,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z+1) = [z; \beta]_q \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z), \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_q(0) = 1.$$

- Allow this.

$$\hat{\Gamma}_q(z+1; \beta) = [z; \beta]_q \hat{\Gamma}_q(z; z\beta), \quad \hat{\Gamma}_q(0; \beta) = 1.$$

6. Special Functions

1 If we consider a functional equation that verifies a Special Function $f(z)$ we can

- Don't allow the parameter β changes in the q -analog of this equation,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_q(z+1) = [z; \beta]_q \tilde{\Gamma}_q(z), \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_q(0) = 1.$$

- Allow this.

$$\hat{\Gamma}_q(z+1; \beta) = [z; \beta]_q \hat{\Gamma}_q(z; z\beta), \quad \hat{\Gamma}_q(0; \beta) = 1.$$

2 If we have a function like this

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}} a(n, z) \rightarrow \overline{f}_q(z) = \sum_{n \in \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{Z}} a(\overline{[n; \beta]}, z).$$

6. The class of q -Zeta function

1 Let's consider a representant of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$,

6. The class of q -Zeta function

- 1 Let's consider a representant of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$,
- 2 Define an element of the class of the q^β -Zeta functions as the following

$$\zeta_q(z; \beta) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{[n; \beta]_q^z}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0.$$

6. The class of q -Zeta function

- 1 Let's consider a representant of $\overline{[n; \beta]_q}$,
- 2 Define an element of the class of the q^β -Zeta functions as the following

$$\zeta_q(z; \beta) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{[n; \beta]_q^z}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0.$$

- 3 For instance, to recover **Euler Identity** we need

$$[n; \beta]_q.$$

7. An application with q -OP

- 1 Let's consider $h_p(1)$ the q -analog of harmonic series

$$h_p(1) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^k - 1}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

7. An application with q -OP

- 1 Let's consider $h_p(1)$ the q -analog of harmonic series

$$h_p(1) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^k - 1}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

- 2 Erdős in 1948 proved it was irrational.

7. An application with q -OP

- 1 Let's consider $h_p(1)$ the q -analog of harmonic series

$$h_p(1) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^k - 1}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

- 2 Erdős in 1948 proved it was irrational.
- 3 Walter Van Assche in 2001 published another proof using q -Legendre polynomials and Padé approximation.

7. An application with q -OP

- 1 Let's consider $h_p(1)$ the q -analog of harmonic series

$$h_p(1) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{p^k - 1}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

- 2 Erdős in 1948 probed it was irrational.
- 3 Walter Van Assche in 2001 published another proof using q -Legendre polynomials and Padé approximation.
- 4 After the process he need to calculate the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d_n(p)]^{\frac{1}{n^2}} \text{ where } d_n(p) = \text{lcm}(p - 1, \dots, p^n - 1).$$

7. An application with q -OP

$$\boxed{5} \quad d_n(p) = \prod_{k=1}^n \Phi_k(p).$$

7. An application with q -OP

$$\boxed{5} \quad d_n(p) = \prod_{k=1}^n \Phi_k(p).$$

$$\boxed{6} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(k) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} n^2 + \mathcal{O}(n \log n).$$

7. An application with q -OP

$$\boxed{5} \quad d_n(p) = \prod_{k=1}^n \Phi_k(p).$$

$$\boxed{6} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(k) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} n^2 + \mathcal{O}(n \log n).$$

$$\boxed{7} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d_n(p)]^{\frac{1}{n^2}} = p^{3/\pi^2}.$$

7. An application with q -OP

$$\boxed{5} \quad d_n(p) = \prod_{k=1}^n \Phi_k(p).$$

$$\boxed{6} \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi(k) = \frac{3}{\pi^2} n^2 + \mathcal{O}(n \log n).$$

$$\boxed{7} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d_n(p)]^{\frac{1}{n^2}} = p^{3/\pi^2}.$$

$\boxed{8}$ Finally, using this result he obtains an upper bound of the measure of irrationality for this approximation, which was founded by Bundshuh and Väänänen $\rightarrow r(h_p(1)) \leq 1 + \frac{\pi^2+2}{\pi^2-2}$.